

Sets of Fourier Coefficients using Numerical Quadrature*

James N. Lyness

Mathematics and Computer Science Div.
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL 60439 USA

School of Mathematics
University of New South Wales
NSW 2052 AUSTRALIA

One approach to the calculation of Fourier trigonometric coefficients $\hat{f}(r)$ of a given function $f(x)$ is to apply the trapezoidal quadrature rule to the integral representation

$$\hat{f}(r) = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i r x} dx.$$

Some of the difficulties in this approach are discussed. A possible way of overcoming many of these is by means of a “subtraction” function. Thus, one sets

$$f(x) = h_{p-1}(x) + g_p(x),$$

where $h_{p-1}(x)$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $p-1$, specified in such a way that the Fourier series of $g_p(x)$ converges more rapidly than that of $f(x)$. To obtain the Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$, one uses an analytic expression for those of $h_{p-1}(x)$ and numerical quadrature to approximate those of $g_p(x)$.

*This work was supported in part by the Mathematical, Information, and Computational Sciences Division subprogram of the Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research, U.S. Dept. of Energy, under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38

PART 1: FOURIER SERIES

A Few Classical Results

of a

Straightforward Nature

Fourier series over $[0,1]$ for $g(x)$.

$$\bar{g}(x) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(\ell) e^{2\pi i \ell x}$$

$$\hat{g}(r) = \int_0^1 g(x) e^{-2\pi i r x} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(x) = & a_0 + a_1 \cos 2\pi x + a_2 \cos 4\pi x + \dots \\ & + b_1 \sin 2\pi x + b_2 \sin 4\pi x + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Example 1: $f(x) = e^{\alpha x} / (e^{\alpha} - 1) \quad \alpha = \frac{4\pi^2}{10} \simeq 3,6$

$$a_0 \simeq 0.3$$

$$a_{10} = 10^{-3} \quad a_{100} = 10^{-5} \quad a_{1000} = 10^{-7}$$

$$b_{100} = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \quad b_{1000} = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$$

Example 2: $f(x) = \frac{1-\rho^2}{1-2\rho \cos 2\pi x - \rho^2} \quad \rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} = 0.32$

$$a_0 = 1 \quad a_1 = 0.32 \quad a_2 = 0.1 \quad a_3 = 0.032 \quad a_4 = 0.01$$

$$a_5 = 0.0032 \quad a_8 = 0.0001$$

$$a_{12} = 10^{-6}$$

$$a_{16} = 10^{-8}$$

$$b_j = 0$$

$f(x)$ is $C^\infty[0, 1]$ and bounded variation.

(0) Under these circumstances the Fourier series converges

$$\hat{f}(r) \rightarrow 0$$

(1) Example 1

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{\alpha x}}{e^\alpha - 1}; \quad \hat{f}(r) = \frac{\alpha - 2\pi i r}{\alpha^2 + 4\pi^2 r^2}$$

[Cosine coeffs (real part) $\sim O(r^{-2})$. $\alpha = 2$. First 300 coeffs exceed 10^{-6} .]

(2) Example 2

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos 2\pi x + \rho^2}; \quad \hat{f}(r) = \rho^r; \quad |\rho| < 1$$

[$\rho = \frac{1}{2}$; coefficients after $r = 20$ are less than 10^{-6} .]

Any pattern?

FCAE

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(r) = & -\frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2\pi ir} - \frac{f'(1) - f'(0)}{(2\pi ir)^2} - \dots \\ & \dots - \frac{f^{(p-1)}(1) - f^{(p-1)}(0)}{(2\pi ir)^p} + \frac{1}{(2\pi ir)^p} \hat{f}^{(p)}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Generally, $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-1})$.

GOOD NEWS: When $f^{(s)}(1) = f^{(s)}(0)$ $s = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, then $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-p})$.

BETTER STILL: When $f(x)$ is periodic with period 1, then $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-p})$ for all p .

BEWARE: FCAE is generally divergent and is generally NOT semi-convergent.

WORSE: It can CONVERGE to WRONG limit. When $\phi(x)$ is periodic, the FCAE for $\hat{f}(r)$ coincides with the FCAE for $(\hat{f}(r) + \hat{\phi}(r))$.

FCAE

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(r) = & -\frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2\pi ir} - \frac{f'(1) - f'(0)}{(2\pi ir)^2} - \dots \\ & \dots - \frac{f^{(p-1)}(1) - f^{(p-1)}(0)}{(2\pi ir)^p} + \frac{1}{(2\pi ir)^p} \hat{f}^{(p)}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Generally, $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-1})$.

GOOD NEWS: When $f^{(s)}(1) = f^{(s)}(0)$ $s = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, then $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-p})$.

BETTER STILL: When $f(x)$ is periodic with period 1, then $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-p})$ for all p .

BEWARE: FCAE is generally divergent and is generally NOT semi-convergent.

WORSE: It can CONVERGE to WRONG limit. When $\phi(x)$ is periodic, the FCAE for $\hat{f}(r)$ coincides with the FCAE for $(\hat{f}(r) + \hat{\phi}(r))$.

PART 2: FFT Approach

FAST FOURIER TRANSFORM

(Beloved of Engineers and others)

Denote the m -panel trapezoidal rule approximation to $I_x(\psi(x)) = I\psi$ by

$$R_x^{[m]}(\psi(x)) = R^{[m]}\psi = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\psi}(j/m).$$

Recall $\hat{f}(r) = I_x(f(x)e^{-2\pi irx})$. Introduce to DISCRETE FOURIER COEFFICIENT

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}^{[m]}(r) &= R_x^{[m]}(f(x)e^{-2\pi irx}) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m e^{-2\pi ijr/m} \bar{f}(j/m) \end{aligned}$$

The FAST FOURIER TRANSFORM

m linear equations $r \in \left[-\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{m}{2}\right]$ of form $\hat{f} = A\bar{f}$.

A has well-defined structure.

Matrix multiplication can be effected in order $m \log m$ operations instead of order m^2 operations.

Aliasing Formula

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}^{[m]}(r) &= \hat{f}(r) + \hat{f}(r+m) + \hat{f}(r+2m) + \dots \\ &\quad + \hat{f}(r-m) + \hat{f}(r-2m) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(r+km)\end{aligned}$$

confirms $\hat{f}^{[m]}(r)$ is periodic, i.e., $\hat{f}^{[m]}(r) = \hat{f}^{[m]}(r+m)$.

$\hat{f}(r)$ is NOT periodic, but approaches zero.

Some of the Discrete Fourier Coefficients are coefficients of the interpolating Trigonometric Polynomial. Thus, set

$$\hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) = \hat{f}^{[m]}(r) \quad |r| < m/2$$

$$\hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{f}^{[m]}(r) \quad r = \pm m/2$$

$$\hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) = 0 \quad |r| > m/2$$

INTERPOLATING TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIAL is

$$f^{[m]}(x) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) e^{-2\pi i r x} = \sum_{|r| \leq m/2} ' \hat{f}^{[m]}(r) e^{-2\pi i r x}$$

Properties:

$$f^{[m]}(x) = \bar{f}(x) \quad x = j/m$$

$$|f^{[m]}(x) - \bar{f}(x)| \leq 2 \sum_{|r| > m/2} \hat{f}(r)$$

SUMMARY

$f(x)$ is our original function.

$\hat{f}(r)$ is the r -th Fourier coefficient of $f(x)$.

$\hat{f}^{[m]}(r)$ is an approximation to this Fourier coefficient using the m -panel trapezoidal rule. This is cyclic, i.e., $\hat{f}^{[m]}(r + m) = \hat{f}^{[m]}(r)$.

$\hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r)$ is essentially the useful subset of $\hat{f}^{[m]}(r)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) &= \hat{f}^{[m]}(r) & |r| < m/2 \\ \hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{f}^{[m]}(r) & |r| = m/2 \\ \hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) &= 0 & |r| > m/2\end{aligned}$$

$f^{[m]}(x)$ is the interpolating trigonometric polynomial of degree $m/2$. Constructed from the useful subset $\hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r)$.

$$f^{[m]}(x) = \sum_{\text{all } r} \hat{\phi}^{[m]}(r) e^{2\pi i r x} = \sum'_{r \leq m/2} \hat{f}^{[m]}(r) e^{2\pi i r x}$$

PART 3. FCAE

Fourier Coefficient Asymptotic Expansion

Integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}(r) &= \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i r x} dx \\
 &= \frac{f(x) e^{-2\pi i r x}}{-2\pi i r} \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 \frac{f'(x) e^{-2\pi i r x}}{-2\pi i r} dx \\
 &= -\frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2\pi i r} + \frac{1}{2\pi i r} \hat{f}'(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Iterate to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}(r) &= -\frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2\pi i r} - \frac{f'(1) - f'(0)}{(2\pi i r)^2} - \dots \\
 &\quad \dots - \frac{f^{(p-1)}(1) - f^{(p-1)}(0)}{(2\pi i r)^p} + \frac{1}{(2\pi i r)^p} \hat{f}^{(p)}(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the FCAE: Fourier Coefficient Asymptotic Expansion

FCAE

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(r) = & -\frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2\pi ir} - \frac{f'(1) - f'(0)}{(2\pi ir)^2} - \dots \\ & \dots - \frac{f^{(p-1)}(1) - f^{(p-1)}(0)}{(2\pi ir)^p} + \frac{1}{(2\pi ir)^p} \hat{f}^{(p)}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Generally, $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-1})$.

GOOD NEWS: When $f^{(s)}(1) = f^{(s)}(0)$ $s = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, then $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-p})$.

BETTER STILL: When $f(x)$ is periodic with period 1, then $\hat{f}(r) = O(r^{-p})$ for all p .

BEWARE: FCAE is generally divergent and is generally NOT semi-convergent.

WORSE: It can CONVERGE to WRONG limit. When $\phi(x)$ is periodic, the FCAE for $\hat{f}(r)$ coincides with the FCAE for $(\hat{f}(r) + \hat{\phi}(r))$.

PART 4

Joint Approach

combining the good features of the

FCAE and the FFT

FCAE

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(r) = & -\frac{f(1) - f(0)}{2\pi ir} - \frac{f'(1) - f'(0)}{(2\pi ir)^2} - \dots \\ & \dots - \frac{f^{(p-1)}(1) - f^{(p-1)}(0)}{(2\pi ir)^p} + \frac{1}{(2\pi ir)^p} \hat{f}^{(p)}(r).\end{aligned}$$

Subtract out linear trend

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) = & \\ & (f(1) - f(0))(x - \frac{1}{2}) + (f(x) - (f(1) - f(0))(x - \frac{1}{2}))\end{aligned}$$

$$= h_1(x) + g_2(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(r) &= \hat{h}_1(r) + \hat{g}_2(r) \\ &\sim \hat{h}_1(r) + \hat{g}_2^{[m]}(r) = \hat{F}_1^{\{m\}}(r)\end{aligned}$$

Iterate

$$f(x) = h_{p-1}(x) + g_p(x)$$

$$h_{p-1}(x) = \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \lambda_q B_q(x)/q!$$

where $\lambda_q = (f^{(q-1)}(1) - f^{(q-1)}(0))$. This is a KNOWN polynomial of degree $p - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{p-1}(r) &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \lambda_q \hat{B}_q(r)/q! \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \lambda_q / (2\pi i r)^q \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$g_p(x) = f(x) - h_{p-1}(x)$$

is a function one can evaluate at any abscissa x . It satisfies

$$g_p^{(s)}(1) - g_p^{(s)}(0) = 0 \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

and so

$$\hat{g}_p(r) = O(r^{-p})$$

Part 1	Theoretic Approach
Part 2	FFT Approach
Part 3	FCAE
Part 4	Combined Approach

Other Parts:

- Examples of accuracy of numerical process.
- Given p, m , how to estimate accuracy of numerical result.
- How to update p, m during numerical process to obtain preset absolute accuracy ϵ .
- Successively inaccurate derivatives. No need to compromise numerical integrity.

References J.N.L.

LYNESS@MCS.ANL.GOV

The Calculation of Trigonometric Fourier Coefficients, J. Comp. Phys. 54, pp. 57–73, (1984).

Computational Techniques Based on the Lanczos Representation, Math. Comp. 28, pp. 81–123, (1974).